

State Actions to Protect Black Maternal Health

OCTOBER 27, 2025 - MICHAELA MINNIS, BLACK MATERNAL HEALTH FEDERAL POLICY COLLECTIVE

H.R. 1, the budget reconciliation bill, makes deep cuts to Medicaid and Affordable Care Act (ACA) coverage that will harm Black pregnant and postpartum people who rely on Medicaid for essential care before, during, and after pregnancy. The Black Maternal Health Federal Policy Collective urges states to protect and extend coverage, improve infrastructure and support providers, and eliminate obstacles to ensure ongoing access to maternal health care.

Impact of H.R. 1 on Medicaid and the Affordable Care Act (ACA)

H.R. 1's health care provisions overhaul Medicaid and the ACA and fundamentally reshape the U.S. health care system, increasing health care costs and making essential care unaffordable for Americans. These changes would restrict insurance eligibility, reduce covered services, and limit health care access for millions. The cuts would also force hospital closures, especially in rural or underserved areas, leaving people without critical care, worsening health disparities, and leading to poorer health outcomes.

Imposed Work Requirements on People Who Rely on Medicaid

Under H.R. 1, states will be required to impose work requirements upon Medicaid enrollees. These requirements have proven to be administrative barriers to access, and people—even those who meet the requirements but simply miss a filing deadline—are anticipated to lose coverage as a result. Despite work requirement exemptions for pregnancy, new verification burdens will lead to coverage loss, particularly for working mothers.

Changes to Medicaid Eligibility and Enrollment

States will be required to redetermine eligibility for Medicaid expansion-covered adults every six months, instead of annually. Additionally, the law has changed retroactive Medicaid coverage. States previously paid claims for services up to three months before an individual submitted an application. Starting in 2027, retroactive Medicaid coverage will be reduced to one month. Both enrollment changes will create barriers and

impose additional financial burdens on people who rely on Medicaid for health care. Lastly, some immigrants, including Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) recipients, people with Temporary Protected Status (TPS), and refugees, will also lose eligibility for Medicaid coverage.

Changes to Medicaid Funding for Providers

Medicaid enrollees are also barred from using their insurance to access care at facilities run by certain reproductive health providers, <u>namely Planned Parenthood</u>, limiting access to abortion care, contraception, sexually transmitted infection (STI) testing, cancer screenings, and other essential services.

Rollbacks to the ACA

ACA marketplace coverage has provided affordable coverage to millions of women before and after pregnancy. In 2023, more than <u>one-third of Black people</u> with marketplace coverage received financial assistance to purchase insurance. Under H.R. 1, the ACA's enhanced tax premium credits will <u>not be extended</u> past their expiration date of December 31, 2025. As a result, beginning in January 2026, average out-of-pocket insurance premiums are expected to <u>double</u> and financial assistance will be drastically reduced for millions. An estimated <u>4.8 million ACA marketplace enrollees</u> are expected to lose their health coverage following these changes.

Changes to ACA Eligibility and Enrollment

Similar to Medicaid eligibility changes, some immigrants, including Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) recipients, people with Temporary Protected Status (TPS), and refugees, will also lose eligibility for ACA marketplace plans. For those seeking to enroll in Medicaid during special enrollment periods, additional verification processes will be added, while automatic enrollment will be eliminated for subsidy-eligible individuals. These changes will disproportionately impact low-income families and contribute to higher uninsured rates.

Consequences of Funding Cuts for Black Maternal Health

The Congressional Budget Office (CBO) <u>estimates</u> that 1.3 million people will lose coverage and become uninsured by 2026; this number will jump to 5.2 million people in 2027, 6.8 million in 2028, 8.6 million in 2029, and then grow to <u>10 million</u> people uninsured by 2034 due to Medicaid funding cuts under H.R. 1. An additional 4.1 million are projected to lose ACA coverage due to reduced premium tax credits and rule changes. For all people, but especially for Black women, higher uninsured rates would mean reduced access to care before, during, and after pregnancy—care that is critical for managing chronic conditions that often drive life-threatening pregnancy complications.

Disruptions in Postpartum Care from Medicaid Coverage Loss

Coverage loss will disrupt postpartum care, a time when complications often arise. This can force patients to seek emergency care, leading to delays and missed diagnoses, creating a greater risk for Black women in particular, who are already at greater risk of dying from pregnancy-related causes, experiencing severe maternal morbidities, and having negative birth outcomes. The loss of life-saving postpartum support would further exacerbate these risks.

Maternal Health Benefits In Jeopardy

Medicaid funding cuts will transfer financial burdens to states, forcing them to tighten their budgets. Budget restraints could lead states to roll back (or not offer) optional maternal health benefits such as Medicaid coverage for up to one year postpartum, midwifery care, and birth doula support—all of which have been shown to improve maternal health outcomes.

Worsening Access to Maternity Care

Funding cuts would put <u>rural hospitals</u>, community clinics, and reproductive health providers at risk of closure or service cutbacks, creating and worsening maternity care deserts and leaving Black pregnant and postpartum people with fewer options for preventive and emergency care.

Recommended State Actions to Protect Maternal Health Care Access

In response to H.R. 1, state lawmakers will have to make critical policy decisions. These decisions will undoubtedly require deep consideration of constituent health care needs and state budgets. Below are recommendations that can mitigate harm from H.R. 1's federal funding cuts and protect maternal health care access.

Defend and Expand Coverage

- Despite the elimination of federal funding for essential health services, states should retain those options because they are proven to support positive health outcomes, saving states money in the longterm. States should maintain Medicaid-covered maternal health services in their budgets using state-only funds, including postpartum coverage for one year as well as birth doulas and midwifery care. States that have not extended Medicaid postpartum coverage should extend it to ensure birthing people have access to critical services.
- State Medicaid agencies should propose Medicaid
 State Plan Amendments (SPAs) that expand access
 to family planning services, including contraceptives,
 STI screenings, and Pap smears. Currently, eighteen
 states have secured and implemented SPAs,
 successfully broadening access to care for individuals
 who would not otherwise qualify for Medicaid.
 In tandem, state policymakers should provide
 supplemental funding for family planning providers to
 ensure continued access to reproductive health care

for low-income individuals. Access to reproductive health care <u>facilitates healthy birth spacing and increases the likelihood of early and consistent prenatal care, critical for individuals who decide to become pregnant.</u>

Strengthen Health Care Infrastructure

- State policymakers should strengthen local providers' ability to provide care and health care infrastructure by directing state funds to safety-net hospitals, rural clinics, and reproductive health providers. For example, the California state legislature recently passed a bill that would help sustain labor and delivery units in rural hospitals, while a Texas resident physician grant program incentivizes medical school graduates to practice in underserved areas.
- State policymakers should provide state funding to hospitals that will lose Emergency Medicaid funding to help fill the federal funding gap.
- State Medicaid agencies, health departments, and social service agencies should coordinate and protect Medicaid perinatal care coordination programs that connect mothers with health and social services and support. These programs have been <u>shown</u> to improve maternal and infant health by reducing rates of low birthweight, preterm births, and infant mortality.

Address Barriers to Medicaid Enrollment

States should resist the impulse to submit <u>Section</u>
 1115 waivers that would permit them to impose
 work requirements before the federal law mandates
 these requirements to be imposed in 2027. Work
 requirements have been shown to lead to coverage
 loss, even for working mothers.

States should limit Medicaid enrollment verifications to make it easier for people in need to enroll. State Medicaid programs should permit self-attestation for eligibility where federally allowed. Given that H.R. 1 imposes new enrollment requirements that would restrict participation in the program, it is critical to ensure existing enrollment standards do not present unnecessary hurdles.

Keep the General Public Informed of Health Coverage Options

State policymakers and agencies must provide clear, timely, and frequent information to the general public, Medicaid beneficiaries, and people enrolled in ACA marketplace plans regarding upcoming enrollment and eligibility changes. Given rapid changes, it is critical that the public be informed of health care coverage options. States should also have specific outreach and enrollment efforts in Black communities, immigrant communities, and in rural areas to ensure people understand the coverage options that are available.

Conclusion

To truly address the potential hardships resulting from H.R. 1's federal funding cuts to Medicaid and the ACA, states must adopt creative and innovative strategies to serve their populations. States must ensure continued health care access for their constituents, who will be vulnerable to rising health care costs, reduced coverage of health care services, and limited access to essential care before, during, and after pregnancy.

Authors

Michaela Minnis is a policy associate on the maternal and reproductive health team at The Century Foundation.

Black Maternal Health Federal Policy Collective,

founded by Dr. Jamila Taylor in February 2021, leads the strategic advancement of Black maternal health through federal policy, from inception to implementation. We are an interdisciplinary collective of subject matter and policy experts who bring our unique lived experiences as Black women and femmes to our work. We are presidents, executives, and early to mid-career professionals grounded in the reproductive justice, birth justice, and intersectionality frameworks.

OTHER RESOURCES

- <u>State Momnibus Scan: Charting the Future</u> of Maternal Health
- Black Mamas Matter: In Policy and Practice
- The 2025 Black Reproductive Justice Policy Agenda
- NHeLP: OBBBA is Now Law, But the Fight is Not Over: Utilizing Existing State Resources to Protect SRH Coverage